R.T

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1892-93.

~~191~~ .

(Sep. Rev.)

File No. 45

Serial Nos. 1—30

Later references.

S.R. 69 of 1894

S.R.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1— 30 .

M ~~Part~~ B for Oct, 1894.

SUBJECT.

~~Toddy Revenue payable to~~
Claim of certain Inamdars
to Toddy Revenue.

Indexed
Dy

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Govt

(1 Kan)

Memo.

No 945/52 dated 16th August 1892.

The Excise Commissioner submits for orders of Govt under para 5 of Govt Proc No 1019/29 Cus 4 dt 12/4/92 copy of a letter from the Dy Commr, Chingleput District together with its enclosures regarding the Toddy Revenue payable to the Inam Village of Thimlapur in the Chengalpat Taluk.

No 1149/88 dated 4th Augt 1892 from to the Excise Commissioner

2. The Dy Commr reports that from the certified copies of documents produced by the Inamdars of the village, it will seem that the Inam Department has recognized their right to the Toddy Revenue of the village. The only thing that has now to be settled is the amount of Toddy Revenue which has to be paid to the Inamdars. During the Toddy

the Inam groves were sold for Rs 40 for the year 1892-93. The Inamdars contend that the amount is very small, that the groves were sold without their knowledge and that therefore the groves should be sold again when they would cause a fair competition to bear upon the sale and the amount being enhanced and that they would not allow the present contractor to work the groves. The grounds of contention are these: —

(1) They were not given due notice that their Inam groves wd be sold.

(2) The groves not having been worked these 10 years are in a very good condition and would yield an abundance of Toddy. This would considerably increase the revenue if there were fair com-

By Comm'rs explanation

(1) The sale was held publicly after due notification.

(2) From local enquiries made no larger sum than the auction amount can be recommended in favor of the Inamdars

(3). The no of Shops to which Toddy from these groves is to be supplied is not defined, and so, the Contractor of these groves who is also the Contractor of the Shops in the Shimoga and Shimoga Taluks for 1892-93 would naturally Exhaust these groves being nearer to the Shops than other groves and would lessen the Inamdars revenue in future years, which otherwise they might expect to get.

3. The Excise Commr reports that he has informed the Dy Commr that under the standing orders of Govt, the Inamdar

cannot prohibit the
Govt farmer from
drawing toddy from
the trees in his village.

4. From the papers submitted,
it is clear that the Inamdar
is entitled to the toddy
revenue of this village.
His claim being recognized
under para 5 of Government
Pro: No. 1019-29 dated 12th
cir. 4
April 1892, the Excise
Commr's action as reported
in para 3 of the letter under
reference, may be approved.
Submitted for orders.

B.D.
3/9/92

Submitted.
Mrk
22/2

Return original papers
to Commr of Salt & Excise
Mayne Collector is informed
of the decision & the District Forest Officer
informed as
requested in Rc No. 1413-57
dated 10/3/92.

Mrk
10/3/92

3

1 Kw

Memo.

Ref

Excise Commr's letter no 1898/95 of the 24th September 1892 submitting for orders Copies of two reports submitted by the Dy Commr, Kolar District, in regard to the rights of Inamdars of the villages noted on the margin to Toddy revenue, under para 5 of Govt Proc^s no 1019-29. Cir 4 dated 12th April 1892.

Mulbagal Taluk.

1. Kamthoti Kamenur
2. Donamakunte-Kondenahalli
3. Allalasandra
4. Kathagatta
5. Bheemanahalli.

Chintamani Taluk.

1. Koppavarpalli
2. Bozjapanhalli

I With his report no 54 dated 28th August 1892, the Amildar, Mulbagal Taluk submitted to the Officer in charge Excise Dept: Kolar Dt: with reference to village no 1 only a statement of claims, with reference to village no 2 a statement of claims and the Inamdar's statement, and with reference to villages no: 3, 4 and 5, a statement of claims together with the statements of persons who were acquainted with the facts of

the enjoyment of the Toddy
revenue by the Inamdars
and copies of two bonds purporting
to have been executed by Toddy
farmers agreeing to pay certain
sums to the Inamdars.

Village no 1 Statement.

(a) The Amildar stated that
Karsappa Venkobacharry deposed before him
as agent of the Kaggeshalli Swami Matt.
that though the enjoyment of
Toddy revenue was not specified
in the Sanad, yet the right
to enjoy all items of revenue
was mentioned, that the matt
had been leasing out the date
groves to Toddy contractors
for more than 20 years and
appropriating the sum to itself
and the matt had thus been
in undisturbed enjoyment
of the right from a long time
and prayed that the right
might be continued to the
matt. The Amildar stated
that the village was under
Govt management.

The amount for which the
groves have been sold for 1892-93
is only one rupee.

Village no 2 Statement-

(b) The Amildar states that Sonnai Sowda, Sarvamanya-dar of Donankuntè Kondena-halli village, deposed before him that though no mention of the right to Toddy income was expressly made in the Sanad under which he enjoys the village on Sarvamanyam tenure, in the Sanad the Expression, that right to all items of income had been conceded to the grantee included the above said Toddy right and that his long enjoyment of the Toddy right would, strongly support such interpretation - the Amildar recommends the right being continued. Revenue for 1892-93 is Rs 10-8-0.

Villages no 3, 4 & 5 - Statement.

(c) The Amildar states that the Inamdar is Anu--pauryaswami, there is no mention in the Sanad that the temple is entitled

to the Zaddy Revenue. The
proves used to be leased out
to Zaddy contractors by
the Temple servants from
time to time, which fact
is borne out by the statements
of the temple servants and
copies of bonds executed by
the Zaddy farmers in favor
of the temple agreeing to
pay the latter the stipulated
sums. The Amildar recom-
-mends that the sum of
Rs 74 which has been
secured for the proves for
the year 1892-93, may
be paid to the temple and
the concession allowed to
the temple in future years
also as heretofore.

The Dy. Commr's order
in these 3 cases is as follows:—
"Clandestine enjoyment is
"no ground why the right should
"be continued unless the
"Concession is noted in the
"Sanad. The Inamdar should
"Exercise his claims to Zaddy
"no longer".

II The Amildar, Chintamani Taluk, submits with his report No. 24 dated 10th May 1892, to the Dy Commr, Kolar District, the statements of the Joddidars of the 2 Jodi villages noted on the margin together with 9 receipts purporting to be bonds Executed in favor of the Inamdar of the first village by the Toddy Contractors from time to time, agreeing to pay the stipulated sums to the Inamdar in Consideration of their being allowed to take Toddy from the groves of the village. Both the Joddars state that Excepting long enjoyment of the right to Toddy revenue there is no documentary evidence to show that the Toddy right has been Expressly Conceded to them.

1. Jodi Koppavaripalli: (Rs +180)
2. Bo-Boyipapanahalli (Rs +24).

+ These are the sums for which the groves were sold for 1892-93.

The Dy Commrs order in these cases is as follows:-

"I cannot recognize his "right to Toddy. No reliance "could be placed on the receipts "which may be forged for all we "know".

The Dy Commr states that the Saunads of the Inamdars make no mention of a right to Sadr revenue. The Inamdars allege an enjoyment for a period of over 20 years, and in the opinion of the Dy Commr, a clandestine enjoyment cannot give them a valid right. No implicit reliance can be placed on the genuineness of the receipts produced by the Inamdars in support of their enjoyment of the right for a long time

Dy Commrs No. 285 & 986/655 & 656 dy 17th September 1892

III The Excise Commr is of opinion that holders of Inam villages have as much right to the date trees on their Inam lands, as the occupants of lands in Govt villages have to the trees in their holdings; that the Govt farmers cannot therefore tap them without

Excise Commrs No 1898/95 dated 24th September 92

the Inamdar's permission;
that under clause (b) of
Section 21 of the Executive
Inamdars may utilize
such trees for the manu-
facture of ~~Gud~~ Jaggery
or molasses; that like the
occupants of lands in
Govt villages, the Inamdars
may use the leaves for
making ropes, baskets &c
and the wood for fuel or any
other purpose; and that under
such circumstances, the
Inamdars are entitled to the
same remuneration as
the occupants of lands in
Govt villages for the Toddy
drawn from the trees on
their Inams.

Submitted for orders.

22/12

Mr

H.D.
5/11

For 1st Remuneration
Int. with Govt 24 Feb 91

Cur. Mr Acker on Remuneration
Case had that the
Case Survey Map should

Form the subject of a
Ministry Brief - General
Meeting that was made
with in the same case
file as has been done
in the present instance.

[illegible]
24/12

Submitted with draft

[illegible]
10/1/94

SC 1

(General Serial No. 30.)

1904
189 19 AUG. 9
R505

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 16 August 1892.

Despatched 17

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & 1 folio

General No. 945

No. 52

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the early orders of Government copy of a letter from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, together with its original enclosures, regarding the toddy revenue payable to the Inam village of Thimlapur in the Shimogaga taluk.

Recd Nov 18 1892

Revenue Collection
R.B.
3/9

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Office of the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, dated 16th August 1892.

No: 945/52

(Encls: 18 1 file)

1

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 5, of Government Pro: No: 1019-29 cis 4, dated 12th April 1892, I have the honor to forward herewith, for the early orders of Government, copy of letter No: 1149/88, dated 4/10 Re instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, together with its original enclosures, regarding the toddy revenue payable to the Inam Village of Thimlapur in the Chenniagiri Taluk.

2. From the accompanying record, the Inamdar appears to be entitled to the toddy revenue. I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Inamdar cannot claim more than the amount realized in the auction sale.

3. I have informed the Deputy Commissioner that, under the standing orders of Government, the Inamdar cannot prohibit the Government farmer from drawing toddy from the trees

trees in this village.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Mitchell

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. Ex 1 8c 1

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To The Excise Commissioner
Bangalore

Dated 4"
Despatched 10 } August 1892
Received

Enclosures No. 1 bundle of Can: papers.

General No. 1149
No. 88

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith the records connected with the Inam village of Thimalapur in the Chennagere Taluq, for submission to Government under para 5 of Government Proceedings No. 1019-24 dated 12" April 1892.

From the certified copies produced by the Inamdars, it will be seen that their right to the Toddy Revenue of the village has been recognized by the Inam Department. All that has now to be settled is, the objection raised by them to allow the Contractor to draw Toddy from their vanas, on the grounds that Toddy has not been drawn for several years past.

past, and that the amount felched this year, is small. The latter compliant is groundless and frivolous, as the sale was held publicly after due notification. In regard to the farmer I doubt whether the Inamdars can prohibit the Contractor from drawing Toddy in their village. Para 5 of Government proceedings No. 1019-29 dated 18th April 1892, cir 4, evidently contemplates assigning Inamdars a money value in substitution for their right to the Toddy, the utmost that they can expect being the sale amount of the current year. The Contractor reasonably complains of inconvinience and loss owing to the obstruction. I beg the favour of very early orders on the Subject.

I have already made local enquiries, and am not at all prepared to recommend anything more than the auction amount in favour of the Inamdard.

I have &c

(Signed) B. Ramaswamy Ayyar
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

"Copy"

J Michell
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3394
26 SEP. 92
2925

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24 September 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one 12 fols

General No. 1898

No. 95

In reply to Adverting to para 5 of Govt Proc: Exc No. 1019-29/4 dated 12-4-92

SUBJECT.

Submits for orders the Kolar Deputy Commissioners report on the results of investigations into the case of certain Inamdars in the Chickballapur and Chintamany talukas who claim toddy revenue.

Ref. & Submit.

25/9

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Sankaranja.
Putupa precis of the two cases. Mark
24/10/92

Precis sent.
26.10.92 H.D. 5/11

B.S.

No. 1898/95

(Encls: 14 2 files)

Excise Commissioner's Office

Bangalore, dated 24th September 1892

(1)

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to para 5 of Government Proceedings No. 1019-29/4, dated 12th April 1892, I have the honor to forward, herewith, for the orders of Government copy of dockets Nos. 985/655 & 986/656, dated 17th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, together with its original enclosures, reporting on the marginally noted Inam cases in regard to Toddy Revenue. It will be seen that the enjoyment of the Toddy Revenue by these Inamdars is not supported by

Chintamani Taluq:
1. Yellavanahully
2. Boyisapanhally

Mulbagal Taluq:
1. Kamattikamma
2. Ramatirtha - Nondenhalli
3. Cellabanda
4. Thattagalla
5. Beemanhalli

by Summads; but they have produced some old Receipts &c in support of their long enjoyment. It is clear that they are not entitled to Excise Revenue, viz duty or license fees. But I am of opinion that they have as much right to the date trees on their Inam lands, as the occupants of lands in Government villages have to the trees in their holdings; that the Government farmers cannot therefore tap them without the Inamdars' permission; that under clause (b) of section 21 of the Excise Act, Inamdars may utilize such trees for the manufacture of jaggory or molasses; that like the occupants of lands in Government villages, the Inamdars may use the leaves for making topes, baskets

baskets &c., and the wood for
fuel or any other purpose; and
that under such circumstances, the
Inamdars are entitled to the same
remuneration as the occupants of
lands in Government villages,
for the Toddy drawn from the
trees on their Inams.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
S Mr Keith
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

No. 985/655 Dated 17th September 1892

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of your circular letter No. 570-77/10 of 19th July last, I have the honor to submit herewith the reports on Inam cases of the marginally noted Jodi groves sold by the two special Officers in December 1891, received from the Amildar of the Mulbagal Taluq.

2. The Sanads of the Inamdars make no mention of a right to Toddy Revenue. The Inamdars allege an enjoyment for a period of over twenty years. A clandestine enjoyment cannot, I conceive, give them a valid right

(1) Kambattalli - Kammasandra.
(2) Donakanahalli - Kondasandra.
(3) Allalasandra.
(4) Kattagatta.
(5) Bukkanahalli.

3.

3. No claim has been preferred to the Revenue of any of the Toddy Shops in the Inam villages of this Taluq. (Mudaliyagal)

I have &c.

(Signed) K. S. Doraswamy Iyer
for Deputy Commissioner

No 986/656 Dated 17th September 1892

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of your circular letter No 570-77/10 of 19th July last, I have the honor to submit herewith the report received from the Chintamani Amildar on the title of the Toddy Revenue of the Inamdars of Kopavasa-pally and Boyinapapally date groves of the Chintamani Taluq sold out in

in December 1891 by the two Special Officers for Rs 180 and Rs 24 respectively.

2. There is no concession noted in their Samads. The Inamdars, in support of the fact of their enjoyment, produce papers purporting to be receipts granted to them by the different contractors agreeing to pay the Inamdars the stipulated amounts from time to time for enjoyment of the groves. I cannot recognize their right to the Toddy Revenue, nor could implicit reliance be placed on the genuiness of the receipts.

I have &c.

(Signed) K. S. Doraiswamy Iyer

for Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

S Mutts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To Dy Comr for very early report.

(If report has been recd bring up tomorrow.)

(Initialed) S.A.R. 17/2

arzi/petition
cum 1898 97 24/9-92
95

Revenue

To Revenue Branch, for submission to the Chief Secretary, with the report which is said to be pending in that Department.

BR

Rept Dl no 3394
dt 7/10

In Petn (Petition no 1898 95 dt 24.9.92 was subd to Dy Comr in his letter no 1564 dt 11.12.92 [illegible]

Subd.
27/2

Submitted to the Chief Secretary

N
6/3/93

Petition in Dy Comr's letter no 1564 dt 11.12.92 [illegible]

The file has since been returned to the Rev: Branch

mk
31/3/93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893. 4(3)

No. 2137

Name of Petitioner: Alaphasingari Battachariya, Jodidar.

Village: Jodi Koppuvaripalli

Taluk: Srenivasapur Sub Ta

District: Kolar

Date of Arzi. 16/2/93.

Date of Receipt. 17/2/93.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Last year, the date gro in the Petitioner's Inam vill were sold by the Excise aut contrary to mamool. Abou 200 small trees were lopped and consequently withered. He addressed petitions to various officers and also to this office. The Deputy Comr issued an endorsement to the effect that the proceed were submitted to Govt.

Petitioner prays that the right to the Toddy-Revenue ma be restored, that the sale-proc may be given to him and tha the documents produced by him ma

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

17-2-93

3

MYSORE DEWAN'S DEPOT.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2137
DATE 17/2/93.

(General Serial No. 30.)

544.

1893. 7794.

R 13 28

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 2nd November 1893.

Despatched 3.

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 1544

No. 180

R505

In ~~reply to~~ continuation of this office letter No. 7945, dated 15th August 1892 and with reference to Government Proceedings No. 1443-51 dated 11th July 1893. SUBJECT. R.69

Forwards copies of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District and recommends the amounts of Sadr Revenue payable to certain Inamdars.

Rev. Mysore 3/11

RD 13/11

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

The same Nos 9368 dd 14/12/93
R7129-92

Submitted with draft

Recd 4/11

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1544/180 (Encls 4) Bangalore, dated 2nd November 1893

(5)

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 945/52, dated 16th August 1892, and with reference to Government Proceedings No. 443-51/R. 69, dated 11th July 1893, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copies of the marginally noted papers, showing the results of enquiry into the claim to Toddy revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Mulagatta and Honnagatta in the Honnali Taluq. The Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District

1. Copy of docket No. 927/75, dated 11th October 1893, from the Dy. Commissioner Shimoga District, received with the above
2. Copy of Statement
Copy of docket No. 1054/85, dated 30th October 1893, from ditto — with original Vernacular papers × 11 Enclosed
3. Copy of Statement received with the above.

District has recommended that the Toddy revenue should be paid to the Inamdars at the rates realized by separate sales in the year 1892-93, but he has made no deductions on account of the proportionate cost of the Toddy Inspectors employed in the Talugs concerned. The Amounts which have to be so deducted are as per particulars below:—

	Revenue of the Talug for the year	Cost of Estt of the Talug for the year	Annual rental of the Inam village	Proportionate cost of Estt to be deducted from the rental
Shimalapur Channagiri Talug—	Rs 25,160 :	Rs 804 :	Rs 42½ ::	1—5—9 or Rs 2
Kalaghatta Honnali Taluk	Rs 4792-2-0 :	Rs 372 :	Rs 308-2-0 ::	23—14—6 or Rs 24
Hunsighatta Honnali Talug	Rs 4792-2-0 :	Rs 372 :	Rs 136 ::	10—8—11 or Rs 11

After making the above deductions, the remaining amounts payable to the above villages, are respectively Rs 40—8—0, 284—2—0 and 125—0—0. I beg to recommend that payments may be ordered to be made accordingly to the Inamdars concerned,

concerned, at the end of each month, upon audited bills.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Mukerjee
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

(General Serial No. 30.)

4a

1893.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To The Excise Commissioner
~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan~~
~~in of~~ Mysore.

Dated 11th October 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 927
No. 75.

His No. 6021-27/213 dated
19th May last calling
for a Statement
showing the validity
of the claims of
Inamdars to Toddy
Revenue &c.

With reference to
the above, has the
honor to forward
a Statement furnished
the

the information called for there

(Signed) B. Ramaswamiah
For Dy Commissioner

"Copy"

S Mukerji
Excise Commissioner

List of Inam or Kayangutta Jahagirs Villages or other
entitled to Excise Revenue by Samud as settle

Name of Talug	Name of Inam or Kayangutta Jahagir Villages or other estate	No: of Arrack Shops	No of Toddy Shops	No of Sangaths Cofusions or Ganja Shops	Approximate No of Pakka Fees.	Extract from Inam Re as to the exact provisi in Samud in regar to Abkari Revenue
Shimoga	Shimlapur (Godi Inam)	"	"	"	3000	The records and title deeds in connection with Inam village have forwarded to the Exc Commissioner with Office No: 1149/88 Dated 4/10
Honnali	Kulagatti	"	1	"	7000	The Inamdars are entit Toddy Revenue as per or quoted hereunder: (1) Nagar Division Sup to D/ 31-8-36 (2) Deputy Commissioner's o No: 228 Dated 12-7-72 (3) Nagar Division Commi No: 78 D/5-11-60 (4) Deputy Superintendent 167 D/10-7-72
— do —	Hunsagatta	"	1	"	110	Samud granted by the the late Maha Rajah Krishna Raja Wadiar B D/21-8-1814 and Inam Lett No: 390 D/11 December /

11 October 1893
Shimoga

"Copy"

Excise
Mul-

- cadakes in the district showing whether they are
d in the Inam Settlement.

ister d —	Recommendation by the Dy Commissioner				Remarks.
	In regard to those entitled to Abkari Revenue.			In regard to those not entitled to Abkari Revenue Rental as compensation for date trees utilized by Government	
	Amount for Arrack	Amount for Toddy	Amount for Bung		
leds.	" " "	428 "	" " "	" " "	
the					
ues					
quest					
9 to bers	" " "	308 2 "	" " "	" " "	
409 ber					
nors					
tes:					
Highness i	" " "	136 " "	" " "	" " "	
ladras ded 69					

(Sgd) B. Ramaluswamidyer
For Deputy Commissioner
Anantapur District

L Ricketts
Commissioner
in Mysore

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 4

From

The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga Distt.

To The Excise Commissioner
~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan~~
in ~~of~~ Mysore.

Dated 30th October 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 + Can: papers

General No. 1034
No. 85

In continuation of this Office letter No 1149/88 dated 4th August 1892, has the honor to forward the records of enquiry* anent the claim of Toddy Revenue in the Inam villages of Kulagatta and Himesa-gatta in the Honnali Taluk together with a Statement furnishing the particulars called for in

* Received with Honnalli Amildar's report No. 295 dated 28th August 1893.

in Government Proceedings No. 443-57
R 69
dated 11th July last for Submission
to Government.

(Signed) B. Ramaswami Iyer
For Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

"Copy"
Illegible
Excise Commissioner

Statement Showing the particulars anent the Claim for Toddy Revenue by the Inamdars.
July 1893.

Taluk and Name of Village	Name of Inamdar	Date and other particulars of grant under which the Toddy Revenue is conceded to the Inamdars.	If the concession is not explicitly granted by sanad under what circumstances and on what ground it is claimed.	Amount of annual payment, if any, made to the Inamdar on account of Toddy Revenue up to 1891-92.	The Data upon which the information under heads 5 is based.	Probable No. of mature Toddy trees from which Toddy is drawn.	What steps have been supplied these trees	
							1892-93	18
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Chennagiri Taluk.								
Thimlapura	1. Narainchar 2. Ramachar 3. Mudgalachar	The records and title deeds in connection with the Inam Village have been forwarded to the Excise Commr. with this Office No. 1149/88 dt. 4/10 August 1892.	It is claimed under the title deeds granted by the Inam department	Nil	Nil	700	1. Benkipur 2. Hudigiri 3. Shimoga Town Shop	B Ha Sh To
Honnalli Taluk.								
Kolagatte	1. Hari Bhatta 2. Doda Soma bhatta 3. Narasama wife of Ramanna 4. Hanumantha bhatta 5. Guraja bhatta 6. Venkatakrishna bhatta 7. Jeerasamma 8. Nageesa bhatta 9. Rambhatta 10. Kudli Hari bhatta 11. Achamma 12. Linga bhatta 13. Rangamma 14. Bheem bhatta 15. Lakshmana bhatta 16. Chanthamani bhatta 17. Shingeri matta 18. Hanumanth Devan 19. Sameswara Devan	The Inamdars are entitled to the Toddy Revenue as per orders quoted hereunder:— Nagar Division Superintendent's No. 409 dated 31-8-36 Deputy Commissioner's order No. 228 dated 12-7-72 Nagar Division Commissioner's order No. 7895 5-11-60 Deputy Superintendent's No. 167 of 10-7-72.	It is claimed under the authority quoted in Column 3.	⁺/₋ 2720	The data is based upon the statement of Inamdars	7000	Hunsogatta Kaela-gatta	H g K g
Hunasagatta	1. Subbanachar 2. Bhagamma 3. Gulayachar 4. B. Subba bhatta 5. Hanumantha Devan 6. Basavanna Devan	Sunnad granted by His Highness the late Maha Raja Sri Krishna Raja Wadur Bhadur, dated 21st August 1814 & Inam title deed No. 390 of 11-12-69.	The concession is claimed on the understanding that this is an entire Jodi village.	374	do	200	Hunsagatta	H g

Dated 10/30 October 1893.
Shimoga.

"Copy"

Superintendent
Excise Commissioner

the Inam villages of the Chennagere & Honahalli Taluks vide Government Proceedings No. 443-51 R 69 /

		The Demand, Collection and Balance on account of Revenue by separate Sales in.						Remarks.
		1892-93.			1893-94.			
	Sort of land	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance.	
		9			10			
	Dril	42 8 -	42 8 -	- - -	106 4 -	35 6 8	70 13 4.	
	Dril.	308 2 -	308 2 -	- - -	1115 10 -	265 10 -	850 - -	* 1884-85 — 266-10-8 } Paid by the Contractor 1885-86 — 266-10-8 } to the Inamdars. 1886-87 — 266-10-8 } 1887-88 } 1888-89 } — - - - - Not sold or given out on contract. 1889-90 } 1890-91 — 960 - - - - } Paid by the Contr 1891-92. 960 - - - } to the Inamdars. Total 2720 - - -
	Dril	136 - -	136 - -	- - -	339 10 9	90 9 4	249 1 5.	

(Signed) B. Ramaswami Iyer
For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Roll 865

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 14th Dec 93.

No 9368
R.T.129-93

8...

His letter no 1544 Dy 2nd
180
Nov 93 forwarding copies
of ~~Correspondence~~ Communi-
-cations from the Deputy Comr
Shimoga Divn, and recommending
the payment of Toddy Revenue
~~payable~~ to the Inamdars
of Kalaghatta & other Villages.

~~In reply, I am the honour to~~
~~State~~ Request that the Vernacular
records connected ~~Said do have been~~
~~forwarded~~ with the above
~~have~~ ~~and~~ ~~above~~ received, ~~and~~ ~~Comptr~~
~~to request~~, ~~that the same~~
may be submitted ~~forwarded~~ at an
early date.

B. O.
14/12
for Chief Sec

DD 5/12/93

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

To

~~*The Chief Secretary to the*~~
~~*Dewan of Mysore.*~~

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—30(

File No. 9 was sent to Chy Secy on 18/1/93.

General Serial NO.) 86

1893. 10108-

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18th

Despatched 21st December 1893

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 2316

No. 242

In reply to Chief Secretary's docket No. 9368/R7129-92, dated 14th instant

SUBJECT.

Forwards vernacular records connected with the Inam villages of Kalagatta and Honnali Taluk.

Rect 22/12/93

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

The same No 13014 & 5/2/94
Q 7166-92

Submitted with draft for approval 31/1/94.

R
24/12

Excise Commissioner's office

No. 2316/242 (Encl 4) Bangalore, 18th/21 December 189

(5)

From

The Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 9368/R 7129-92, dated 14/16th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the vernacular records connected with the Inam villages of Kalaghatta and Hemsagatta in the Hosmali taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Shiketh

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

H. S.

867 (2)

The Excise Comr.
in Mysore

Dy 13/15 Jan 1894

Rec 11 Jan 94 In 24 W.P.
R. 7 16 92

His letter no 1898/95 Dy 24th Sept 92 submitting for orders Kolar Dy Comrs report on the results of investigation into the Claims for loddy revenues of certain Manedars in the Mulbagal & Chintamani Taluks

In returning the original papers received with the above, has the honor to request that the Dy Comr may be called upon to record a decision and distinct finding with

detailed particulars as prescribed in Pt 2a Rr 443-51/R 69 Dy 11th July 93. The case of every village should form the subject of a report by itself. Several villages should not be mixed up in the same reference as has been done in the present instance.

B.O.

15/1/94

for Chief Secy

11/1/94

2-Draft

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—3000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 11493.

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19th

Despatched 24th January 1894

Received

Enclosures No (one Verification)

General No. 2626

No. 279

In reply to the Chief Secretary's docket No. 1530 dated 15th January

SUBJECT.

States that the Deputy Commissioner's Statement regarding the Toddy Revenue payable to the Inamdar of Shinlapur, in the Shemmagiri Taluk has been forwarded with this office No. 1544 dated 2-11-1893.

180

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No. the same as 14357 of 26/12/93
R 166-92

R 26/1

Rec: 25/1/94

Submitted with draft
R.M. 26th Jan. 1894

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 2626/279 (Revenue Vernacular filing) Bangalore, dated 19th January 1894.
24 (7)

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In returning herewith, the vernacular papers received with your docket No. 11530 (2) R.F. 166-92 dated 15th/18th January 1894, I have the honor to invite attention to this office No. 1544 (3) 180, dated 2d November 1893, with which the deputy Commissioner's Statement in the form prescribed in Government Proceedings No. 443-51 R. 69, dated 11th July 1893, in regard to the Toddy Revenue payable to the Inamdar of

of Shimlapur in the Shimoga district taluk, has been submitted, together with my recommendation as to what amount may be paid to him, and when and how it should be paid.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

L Rice

Excise Commissioner

&c in Mysore

2 Drafts for ~~II~~

(810) ~~6~~

The Excise Comr

in Mysore

Dy 5 Feby 94

7

No 13016 Ln 4

R. 7. 166-92

His letter no 2316/242 Dy 18th Dec/93 forwarding Vernacular records connected with the claim of Inam Village Kalaghatta & Hunlaghatta in the ~~Chan~~ Honnali Taluk for Toddy Rev.

In reply has the honor to observe that there was no regular investigation held nor any finding re - corded by the Dy Comr as directed in Govt Proc no 443-51/R 69 Dy 11th July/93

The Excise Comrs attention is invited to this office nos 1152 9 RF 166-92 1153 0 RF 166-92 Dy 15th January/94 and he is further requested

× Ref See (13)

) of Secs I & II.

to call upon the Dy Comrs
to submit each case separately
with orders with ~~full~~ reasons thereon
and finding recorded by him.
The enclosures are here with returned.
S. C.

5/2/94

Ser Chief Secy

(General Serial No. 30,)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

No. 2137- 9-2-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to Alagasingari Bhattacharya Jodidar of Jodi Koppuravapalli, Srinivaspur Sub Tal

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2137 dated 16th January 93 & subsequent reminder Regd No 3365 Dy 6th June 93.

Petitioner is informed that the Proceedings connected with his Claim for the Toddy Rent of his Jodi Village have been returned by the Excise Commissioner for further investigation & report by the Dy Comr.

"By Order"

For Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

4674
7 OCT. 9
R 12 2
8.12

D 1

From

The Excise Commissioner

To

in Mysore

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

R 12 9 4
20

Dated 5th
Despatched 6 October 1894
Received

Enclosures No (1 & 1 file)

General No. 1669
No. 170

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the deputy commissioner Kolar together with the original record of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Mooramandihally in the Garibidnore Taluk.

R 4a 7/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back with for investigation in acc with Govt Proc No: 2076-83 & 2/8/01
R. T. 166-92

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1669
170 (En 1+1 file)

Bangalore dated 5th October 189[illegible]
6

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith,
copy of letter No. 905/579 dated 23rd/25
ultimo, from the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar, together with his Record
of enquiry regarding the Toddy
Revenue of Jodi Moorumanaikally
in the Goribidnur taluk, I have
the honor to state that I agree
with him that the Jodidar is
not entitled to Excise Revenue
from Toddy. No Toddy shop
exists in the village. There are
about fifteen date trees in it.
The right of manufacturing
toddy

toddy from them in 1892-93 & 1893-94 was sold for Rs 1—1—0 & Rs 1—15—11 respectively. These amounts include both duty on toddy which vests in Government, and the rent of the date trees which is payable to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No $\frac{3735}{369}$, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:—

	Rs. A. P
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of the rental including local cess for 1892—93	0—5—8
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of ditto for 1893-94	0—10—8

Deducting Rs 0—0—1 & Rs 0—0—2 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively on account of the proportionate cost of the establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 0—5—7 & Rs 0—5—6 for 1892—93 & 1893-94 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Goribidnore Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Goribidnore Taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Moorumanaikally.	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Moorumanaikally
1892-93 Rs 53,642-7-0	: Rs 804—0—0	:: Rs 0—5—8	: Rs 0—0—1
1893-94 Rs 64,978-3-0	: Rs 1005—0—0	:: Rs 0—10—8	: Rs 0—0—2

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Mahabeth

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

M. S.

12

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 23rd/25-0 September 1894
No. 905/579 Enc 22 case files

Sir,

21 cases only received.

I have the honor to enclose herein 22 cases of Inam Villages for Toddy Revenue with the entire records connected with each separa= ly arranged and numbered.

2. These cases have all been carefully enquired into by the amildars con= cerned and by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner and in a few cases a re-investigation was held. The Sub-Division officer's and the Treasury Assistant-Commissioner's remarks on the cases together with mine are appended in the accompanying Statements marked B.

3. The enquiry into the validity of the claims of the Inamdars was delayed owing to the difficulty of getting them together to produce their ~~title~~ deeds in support of their claims, as they live in different parts of the Province. The remaining cases will follow as soon as they are completed.

I have &c

/Sd/ C. Madiah

Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Shubetta

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

H. C.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 5th October 1894.

Despatched 6th

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1671

No. 172

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District, regarding
the Toddy Renewal of
Jodi Beermangala village
in the Gowribidnur taluk

R 11-7/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt: Proc: No: 20483
R. 7-1669-2

R 12/8/01

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 5th October 1894.

No. 1671/172 (E.I file)

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1670/171 dated 5th/6 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Beermangala village in the Goribidnur taluk, and to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about one hundred date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 14-14-0 and Rs 17-14-11, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and the rent of the date trees, which is payable to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	4	15	4 1/3rd

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94 - - - - - - } Rs. a. p. 5-15-8

deducting Rs. 0-1-2 and Rs. 0-1-6 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar, are Rs. 4-14-2 and Rs. 5-14-2 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively:-

	Toddy Revenue of Gowribidanur Taluk	Cost of Establishment of Gowribidanur Tk.	Toddy Revenue of Inam village of Beermangala	Proportionate Cost of Establishment of Inam village of Beermangala
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1892-93:	53,642-7-4 :	804-4-4 ::	4-15-4 :	4-1-2
1893-94:	64,978-3-4 :	1005-4-4 ::	5-15-8 :	4-1-6.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Mahetta

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]



189

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th October 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1672

No. 173

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion, Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Bisalhally village in the Goribidnur taluk.

R 4/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in acc with Govt. Proc Nos: 2074-83 R.T. 166-92

R 2/8/01

Excise Commissioners Office

No. 1672 (Efile) Bangalore, 5th October 1894.
173 6

From

The Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore,

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1671/172 dated 5th/6 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Bisalhally village in the Goribedanore taluk, and to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about 150 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 8-8-0 and Rs 270-15-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government, and the rent of the date trees, which is payable to the jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369 dated 28th April 1894 the jodidar's shares

are

are as per particulars below:-

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 — Rs as-p 2-13-4

1/3 of — Do — 1893-94 — 90-5-0

Deducting Rs0-0-8 and Rs1-6-4 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Poddar are Rs2-12-8 and Rs88-14-8 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively.-

Poddy Revenue of the Goribedanore Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Goribedanore Taluk.	Poddy Revenue of the Inam village of Bisalhally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Bisalhally.
1892-93 Rs53642-7-0 :	Rs 804-0-0 ::	Rs 2-13-4 :	Rs 0-0-8
1893-94 Rs64978-3-0 :	Rs 1005-0-0 ::	Rs 90-5-0 :	Rs 1-6-4.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

R. S

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189



From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th October 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1673

No. 174

~~*In reply to*~~ .

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Kayengootta Dasrenahally village in the Gauribidnur taluk.

(*References* to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in acc with Govt Proc Nos: 2074-83 R. 7166-72
R 2/8/95

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 5th October 1894.

No. 1673 / 174 (Encl 1) Fro

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1672/173 dated 5th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Kayengootta Dasrenahally in the Goribidnur taluk, and to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Kayengoottadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about fifty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from these in 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 8-15-6. This amount includes both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent of the trees which is payable to the Kayengoottadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayengoottadar's share is as per particulars below:

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1893-94	2	15	10
Deducting Rs.			9 on account of the

the trees

proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the difference payable to the Kayemgoottadar is Rs. 2-15-1.

Toddy Revenue of the Conjeeveram Taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Conjeeveram Tk.	Toddy Rev. of the Zuddum village of Karrenahally.	Proportionate cost debitable to the Zuddum village of Karrenahally.
Rs. 64.978-3-4	: Rs. 1005-0-0	:: Rs. 2-15-10	: Rs. 0-0-9.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S Michetti
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

J. C.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

7 OCT 94
DEWAN OF MYS

189

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 6th October 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1674

No. 175

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, regarding
the Toddy Revenue of
Jodi Konapura village
in the Gowribidnur taluk

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt Roys No:
W74-83 & 2/8/01
R.7.166-92

No. 1674
175 (Exc Office)
From

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, 6th October 1894.

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1673/174 dated 6th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Konapura in the Goribedanore taluk, and to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. A Toddy shop which exists in the village, was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 215-11-0 and Rs 270-15-0. These amounts being Excise Revenue, wholly vest in Government. There are about 20 date trees in the village. The right of manufacturing toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 1-1-0 and Re 1-0-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent

F

of the fees which is payable to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No $\frac{3735}{369}$ dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's Shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs-a-P.
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of rental including local cess for 1892 93 --	0-5-8
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of — Do — for 1893-94 --	0-5-4

Deducting Rs 0-0-1, and Rs 0-0-1, for 1892-93, and 1893-94, respectively, on account of proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 0-5-7 and Rs 0-5-3 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively.

Today Revenue of the Sorikadmore Taluk	cost of establishment of the Sorikadmore Taluk	Today Revenue of the Inam village of Konapura	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Konapura
1892-93 Rs 53642-7-0	Rs 804-0-0	Rs 0-5-8	Rs 0-0-1
1893-94 Rs 64978-3-0	Rs 1005-0-0	Rs 0-5-4	Rs 0-0-1

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Mr Betts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Rs S.

Rev
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

847

1894 4809
R1332

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

D 357/94

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1683
No. 178

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
the Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, in re Toddy
Revenue of Kayengootha
Jakkanahally in the Goribidanoor
Taluk.

Rec'd
26.10.94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt Order No:
W76-83
R.7166-92 & 15/10/94

No 1683/178 (Enl file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 1674/175 dated 6th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record forwarding from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Kayengootha Jakkanahally in the Yerikedmore Taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Kayengoothadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in it. There are about one hundred date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94, was sold for Rs 10-10-0 and Rs 17-14-11, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and in rent of the trees which belongs to the Kayengoothadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894,

the

the Kayengoothadar's shares are as per particulars below:—

1/3 of rental including rent case for 1892-93	Rs	as p
	3	- 8 - 8.
1/3 Do - for 1893-94	5	- 15 - 8.

Deducting Rs 0-0-10 and Rs 0-1-6 for the proportionate cost of establishment (as undermentioned) details, the differences payable to the Kayengoothadar are Rs 3-7-10 and Rs 5-14-2, for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively:—

Toddy Revenue of the Gouribednore Taluk	Cost of establishment of the Gouribednore Taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Jakkamahally.	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Jakkamahally.
1892-93 Rs 53,642-7-0	: Rs 804-0-0	:: Rs 3-8-8	: Rs 0-0-10
1893-94 Rs 64,978-3-0	: Rs 1005-0-0	:: Rs 5-15-8	: Rs 0-1-6.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Elliotts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

Nov
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1684

No. 179

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, relating
to the Taddy Revenue
of Jodi Konandahally
in the Gauribidnore
Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
in accordance with Govt: Proc^s
No: 2074-83
R 7166-92 & 2/8/95

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1684 (E/file) / 179

Bangalore 10th October 1894. / 15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1683 / 178 dated 10th / 15 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District; in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Komarlahally in the Goribidnoor taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy Shop exists in it. There are about forty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 22-5-0 and Rs 16-14-11, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government; and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of

of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	7	7	4.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94	5	10	4.

Deducting Rs. 0-1-9 and Rs. 0-1-4, on account of proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs. 7-5-3 and Rs. 5-9-0. for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

Jodidy Rev: of the Gonibid-nore taluk	Cost of Establishment of the Gonibid-nore taluk	Jodidy Revenue of the Inam village of Thomarlahally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Thomarlahally.
Rs. a-p.	Rs. a-p.	Rs. a-p.	Rs. a p.
1892-93. = 53,642-7-4	: 804-4-4	:: 7-7-4	: 0-1-9.
1893-94 = 64,978-3-4	: 1005-4-0	:: 5-10-4	: 0-1-4.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Illegible
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

H.C.

Re
16.10.94

8619

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894. 4811.

R1334

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1685

No. 180

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Kerlahally village in the Gowribidanur taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in acc with Govt Proc No: 2714-83 R 1/8/01
R.F. 166-92

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1685/180 (E/file) Bangalore 10th/15 October 1894.

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1684/179, dated 10th/15 instant, I have the honor to forward herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Nerlahally in the Goribidnore taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in it. There are about sixty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 4-4-0 and Rs. 4-15-9, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government and rent of the date trees, which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares

Shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs.	a.	p.
$\frac{1}{3}^{rd}$ of ~~[illegible]~~ rental including local cess for 1892-93 ——	1	-6	-8
$\frac{1}{3}^{rd}$ of ~~[illegible]~~ rental including local cess for 1893-94 —	1	-10	-7.

deducting Rs. 0-0-4 and Rs. 0-0-5 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs. 1-6-4 and Rs. 1-10-2 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Gauribid-nore Taluk.	Cost of Establish-ment of the Gauribidnore Taluk.	Toddy Rev: of the Inam village of Nerlahally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Nerlahally.
1892-93 = Rs. 53,642-7-4	Rs. 804-4-4	:: Rs 1-6-8	Rs. 0-0-4
1893-94 = Rs. 64,978-3-4	Rs. 1005-4-4	:: Rs 1-10-7	Rs. 0-0-5.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Ricketts

Excise Commissioner

M.S. in Mysore.

No
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

8120

1894 4812

Q1335

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1686
No. 181

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District in re Toddy Revenue of Kayengootha Babenhally, Gouribednore Taluk.

Encl.

2 : 1

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Procs. 2074-83 R.F. 166-92 dt 2/8/01.

No 1686/181 (Enclosure) Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.

15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 1685/180 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Kayamgootha Babenhally in the Goribidnore taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Kayamgoothadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy Shop exists in it. There are about 15 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94, was sold for Rs 2-2-0 and Rs 1-0-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Kayamgoothadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayamgoothadar's Shares are as per particulars below:—

1/3 rd

	Rs	as	P
1/3rd of rental including local Cess for 1892-93.	0	11	4
1/3 - do - 1893-94	0	5	4.

Deducting Rs 00-2 and Rs 0-0-1 on account of the proportionate cost of establishments as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Kayamgoothadar are Rs 0-11-2 and Rs 0-5-3 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively :—

	Tolly Revenue of the Goribednore Taluk.		Cost of establishment of the Goribednore Taluk.		Tolly Revenue of the Inam village of Babenhally		Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Babenhally
1892-93	Rs 53642-7-0	:	Rs 804-0-0	::	Rs 0-11-4	:	Rs 0-0-2
1893-94	Rs 64978-3-0	:	Rs 1005-0-0	::	Rs 0-5-4	:	Rs 0-0-1

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Stokes
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Rx S.

Rec
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

8(2)

1894 4878
R/336.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 10th October 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1687
No. 182

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, relating
to the Toddy Revenue
of Inam Munsenahally
village in the Gowribid-
-nore taluk.

E.C.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
in accordance with Govt: Proc:
No. 20483 dt 4/8/01
R. 7.16-6-92

No. 1687/182 (C1 file)

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894.
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1686/181 dated 10th/15 instant, I have the honor to forward herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Enam Hunsenahally in the Gouribidnore Taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Enam-dar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. A Toddy Shop exists in it The right of vending Toddy in it for 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 416-8-0 and Rs. 525-15-0, respectively These amounts wholly vest in Govern-ment; the Enamdar having no right to Excise Revenue in the village. There are about fifty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from these in

in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 10-10-0 and ——— Rs. 15-15-0, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government and rent of the date trees, which belongs to the Inamdar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Inamdar's shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	3	-8	-8.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94	5	-5	-0

Deducting Rs. 0-0-10 and Rs. 0-1-4, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per under-mentioned details, the differences payable to the Inamdar, are Rs. 3-7-10 and Rs. 5-3-8 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

	Toddy Revenue of the Govindnur taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Govindnur taluk.	Toddy Rev. of the Inam village of Hunsamakally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Hunsamakally
	Rs. a-p.	Rs. a-p.	Rs. a-p.	Rs. a-p.
1892-93=	53,642-7-4 :	804-4 ::	3-8-8. :	0-0-10
1893-94=	64,978-3-4 :	1006-4 ::	5-5-0 :	0-1-4.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

S Ranketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
H. S. [illegible]

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4814
R1337

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1688
No. 183

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
the Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, in re Toddy
Revenue of Jodi Marimakal
-hally, Goribeanore Taluk

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
with reference to Govt: Procs Nos:
2074-83
R.7-166-92 & 21/1/01.

No. 1688/183 (Cl file) Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.

15

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1687/182 dated 10th/15 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Marimakala-halli in the Goribedanore Taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. A Toddy shop which exists in it was sold for 1892-93 and 1893-94, for Rs 42-8-0, and Rs 55-12-6 respectively. The whole of these amounts vest in Government, the jodidar being entitled only to the Inchatanfa tax on the shop.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]
Dy. Excise Commissioner

Rec
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1689
No. 184

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from the
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar
District, in re Toddy Revenue
of Jodi Pavandahally in the
Chickballapur Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt: Progs No: 2074-83
R. 7/1664

No. 1689/184 (Cl file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1688/183 dated 10th/15 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Pavandahally in the Chickballapur taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in it. There are about thirty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 11-11-0 and Rs 11-11-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:—

1/3 of rental including local cess for 1892-93	Rs	as p
	3	14 4.
1/3 of rental including local cess for 1893-94	3	14 4.

Deducting Rs 0-1-2 and Rs 0-1-3, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the difference payable to the Judicature is Rs 3-13-2, and Rs 3-13-1, for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively.

Jodidy Revenue of the Chick-ballapur Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Chickballapur Taluk.	Jodidy Revenue of the Inam village of Pavandahally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Pavandahally
1892-93 Rs 20,000-0-0 :	Rs 372-0-0 ::	Rs 3-14-4 :	Rs 0-1-2.
1893-94 Rs 23,695-0-0 :	Rs 465-0-0 ::	Rs 3-14-4 :	Rs 0-1-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Sd/- [illegible]
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dy. S.

Exc
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4846.
16 OCT. 94
R 1339

189

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1690

No. 185

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District, regarding
the Toddy Revenue of Jodi
Vakanahally and Jeeganahally
villages in the Chickballa-
pur taluk.

Exc 1.4.0

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt Proc No: 2074-83
R.F.166-92
R 2/8/95

No. 1690/185 (E/file)

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894.
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1689/184 dated 10th instant, 15 I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Vakkarahally and Jeeganahally in the Chikballapur taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy Shop exists in the villages. There are about twenty date trees in Vakkarahally and thirty in Jeeganahally. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them was sold as follows:-

	1892-93		1893-94	
	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
Vakkarahally.	6	-6-0.	6	-6-0
Jeeganahally.	24	-7-0.	24	-7-0.

Thes

These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:-

	Vatkarahally Rs. a. p.	Jeeganahally Rs. a. p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	2-2-4	8-2-4
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94	2-2-4	8-2-4

deducting Rs. 0-0-8 and Rs. 0-0-8 for Vatkarahally and Rs. 0-2-5 and Rs. 0-2-7 for Jeeganahally on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are as per margin

	Toddy Revenue of the Chickballapur taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Chickballapur taluk	Toddy Rev. of the Inam village of Vatkarahally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Vatkarahally.	1892-93 Vatkarahally Rs. a. p.	1893-94 Rs. a. p.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	2-1-4	2-1-4
1892-93 =	20,000-0-0	: 372-0-0	:: 2-2-4	: 0-0-8		
1893-94 =	23,695-0-0	: 465-0-0	:: 2-2-4	: 0-0-8		

	Toddy Revenue of the Chickballapur taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Chickballapur taluk.	Toddy Rev. of the Inam village of Jeeganahally.	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Jeeganahally.	Jeeganahally. 7-15-11	7-15-9.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1892-93 =	20,000-0-0	: 372-0-0	:: 8-2-4	: 0-2-5.		
1893-94 =	23,695-0-0	: 465-0-0	:: 8-2-4	: 0-2-7.		

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

R-S

Rec'd 16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

8625

4817.

189 4 16 10 9

R 1340

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1691

No. 186

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District, regarding
the Taddy Revenue of
Jodi Bommenahally
village in the Chick-
-ballapur taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation
in accordance with Govt: Progs No: 2074-83
R.T. 166-92

8/8/01

Rev
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4826

1894

4818.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 15th
Despatched 15 } October 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (Nil)

General No. 1692
No. 187

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Today Revenue of godi Parenahally, Chickballapur taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in acc with Govt Proc No: 2074-83 R.7166-92

R/18/95

In 1692/187 (C file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1691/186 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, viz re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Parenahally in the Chickballapur taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the godidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in it. There are about eighty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 15-15-0 and Rs 15-15-0, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the godidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the godidar's shares are as per particulars below:—

1 2d
3

	Rs	as	p
1/3rd of rental including local Cess for 1892-93.	5	5	0
1/3rd of rental including local Cess for 1893-94	5	5	0

Deducting Rs 0-1-7 and Rs 0-1-8 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the godidarane Rs 5 3-5 and Rs 5-3-4 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

Gross Revenue of the Chikballapur Taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Chikballapur Taluk.	Gross Revenue of the Inam village of Kanakally	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Kanakally.
1892-93 Rs 29,000-0-0	Rs 372-0-0	Rs 5-5-0	Rs 0-1-7
1893-94 Rs 23,695-0-0	Rs 465-0-0	Rs 5-5-0	Rs 0-1-8

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Chikballapur
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Rs

Re
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

SC 27
4819.
15 OCT. 94
R 1342

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894.
Received

Enclosures No (1 file)

General No. 1693
No. 188

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of godi Chokkanahally Chickballapur Taluk.

Extract
R 1:41

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in acc with Govt: Proc: No: 2074-83
R-7-116-92

R 21/8/01

No 1693/1887 (Eligible) Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 1692/1887 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Chokkanahally, in the Chickballapur Taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about fifteen date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 11-11-0 and Rs 11-11-0, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government, and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:—

1 2
3

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 } (Rs as D) 3 — 14 — 4

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94 } 3 — 14 — 4.

Deducting Rs 0-1-2 and Rs 0-1-3, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jaedidar, are Rs 3 13 2 and Rs 3-13 1, for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively;—

Jaedy Revenue of the Chickballapur Talak.	Cost of establishment of the Chickballapur Talak.	Jaedy Revenue of the Inam village of Chokkanahally	Proportionate cost of the Inam village of Chokkanahally
1892-93 Rs 20,000 :	Rs 372-0-0 ::	Rs 3-14-4 :	Rs 0-1-2
1893-94 Rs 23,695 :	Rs 466-0-0 ::	Rs 3-14-4 :	Rs 0-1-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

S. Mackells
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

Rec
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4820
1894. R1343.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894.

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1694

No. 189

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
Record of enquiry from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, relating
to the Toddy Revenue
of Hayengootta Gunar-
-eddihally village, in the
Gudibanda Sub-taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation
in acc with Govt. Rdgs No: 2074-83
R.7.166-94
R 4/8/01

No. 1694/189 (Excise)

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894.
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1693/188 dated 10th/15 instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, re Toddy Revenue of Kayengootta Gummereddihally in the Gudibanda Sub-taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Kayengoottadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about four hundred date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 63-12-0 and Rs. 255-0-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Kayengoottadar. Under the principle of calculation reported

reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayemgoottadar's shares are as per particulars below:-

	Rs. a. h.
1/3 of rental including local cess for 1892-93 =	21-4-4
1/3 of rental including local cess for 1893-94 =	85-4-4

Reducting Rs. 0-8-3 and Rs. 1-13-6 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Kayemgoottadar are Rs. 20-11-9 and Rs. 83-2-6. for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

	Toddy Revenue of the Bagepally Taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Bagepally Taluk.	Toddy Rev. of the Enam village of Gumanedibally	Proportionate cost chargeable to the Enam village of Gumanaddibally.
	Rs. a. h.	Rs. a. h.	Rs. a. h.	Rs. a. h.
1892-93 =	17,760-12-4	432-4-4	21-4-4	0-8-3
1893-94 =	24,910-5-4	540-4-4	85-4-4	1-13-6.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 4821

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1695
No. 190

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Godi Boyenpapenhally, Sreeniwaspur Sub-Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in acc with Govt Procs No: 2074-83 & 2/8/94.
R.7.166-92

No. 1695/190 (E/file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1694/189 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, re Toddy Revenue of Mahal godi Boyenpapenhally in the Srinivaspur Sub-Taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the godidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about fifty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 was sold for Rs 25-8-0. This amount includes both duty on toddy which accrues to Government and the rent of the date trees which belongs to the godidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the godidar's share is as per particulars below :-

1 2
3

3 Ld of rental including local cess for 1892-93.— } Rs 8 — 8 — 0

Deducting Rs 0-7-5 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details the difference payable to the Jaidar is Rs 8-0-7.

Toddy Revenue of the Chintamony Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Chintamony Taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Gram village of Boyanapalli.	Proportionate cost debitable to the Gram village of Boyanapalli.
Rs 6811-0-0 :	Rs 372-0-0 ::	Rs 8-8-0 :	Rs 0-7-5.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Rice
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

8630
4822.

1894 R 1345

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 1696
No. 191

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Inam Mittanpally, Sidlaghatta Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R. 7166-92

R/18/94

No. 1696/191 (Excise)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1695/190 dated 10th instant, I have the honor to forward, herewith the Record of enquiry from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in re Toddy Revenue of Inam Mittanpally in the Sidlagatta taluk. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about fifty date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93, was sold for Rs. 26-9-0. This amount includes both duty on Toddy which vests in Government, and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Inamdar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369 dated 28th April 1894, the Inamdar's share is as per particulars below:—

	Rs	as	p
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93—	8 —	13 —	8

Secretary

Deducting Rs 1-2-10 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the difference payable to the Inamdar is Rs 7-10-10.

Yearly Revenue of the Siddagatta Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Siddagatta Taluk.	Yearly Revenue of the Inam village of Muttanpalli	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Muttanpalli
Rs 2799-11-0	Rs 372-0-0	Rs 8-13-8	1-2-10.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

J. Nicholls
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dr. S.